



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/017,392	02/02/98	3 JUDKINS		R	980072
- DMOD 40400			, ¬	EXAMINER	
PM82/0428 BUCHANAN INGERSOLL PROFESSIONAL CORP				JOHNS	ON,B
ONE OXFORD CENTRE				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
301 GRANT STREET 20TH FLOOR PITTSBURGH PA 15219-1410				3634	5
				DATE MAILED:	
					04/28/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks



Office Action Summary

Application No. **09/017,392**

Applicant(s)

Judkins

Examiner

Blair M. Johnson

Group Art Unit 3634

Responsive to communication(s) filed on	•
This action is FINAL.	
Since this application is in condition for allowance of in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Qua	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed ayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
is longer, from the mailing date of this communication.	n is set to expire month(s), or thirty days, whichever. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the Extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of
Disposition of Claims	
	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
☐ Claim(s)	
	is/are rejected.
	is/are objected to.
	are subject to restriction or election requirement.
Application Papers	-
⊠ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Pater	nt Drawing Review, PTO-948.
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/s	
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED	copies of the priority documents have been
☐ received.	
received in Application No. (Series Code/	Serial Number)
received in this national stage application	from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
*Certified copies not received:	
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domes	stic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
Attachment(s)	
☐ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449	, Paper No(s)
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413	
★ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review ★ Drawing	
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	
SEE OFFICE AC	TION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES

Application/Control Number: 09/017392 Page 2

Art Unit: 3623

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed

invention: Fig. 6; Fig. 7; Fig. 8.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the

merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently,

claims 1,11,12,25,44 and 58 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that

is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims

subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered

nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to

additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed

generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate

which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should

submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly

admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions

unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a)

of the other invention.

Blair M. Johnson

Primary Examiner Art Unit 3634

April 27, 2000 Tel (703) 308-0526 Fax (703) 305-3597